UNITED STATES of AMERICA

DEPARTMENT of THE INTERIOR

MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

Public Scoping Meeting on Outer Continental Shelf Renewable Energy and Alternative Use Programmatic EIS

Thursday, June 8, 2006

ORLANDO, FLORIDA

PANEL:

MARK ROUSE Minerals Management Services

MARY BOATMAN Minerals Management Services

CHARLES SMITH Minerals Management Services

JOHN GASPER - Facilitator Argonne Lab

Commentors:

WEEZIE ROBERTSON FPL Energy

2	5:30 p.m.
3	SCOPING COMMENTS
4	MS. GASPER: And next I'm going to turn
5	it over to you folks because this is your part of
6	the program. This is when we actually do the
7	actual the scoping, the scoping exercise.
8	But before we get into that aspect of
9	it I would like to take a couple of minutes just to
10	talk a little bit about the opportunities that are
11	going to be during this whole development of the
12	program FDIS where the public have input into the
13	process.
14	The first opportunity is right now,
15	strict public scoping. That process started on the
16	5th of May and it will run until July 5th. During
17	that time we're interested in getting comments from
18	any interested party on what sort of analysis
19	should be incorporated into the program FDIS, what
20	sort of technologies we should be looking at, what
21	are the issues that need to be addressed.
22	We're going to be taking that
23	information, doing some impact analyses in
24	developing a draft environmental programmatic
25	environmental impact statement that's scheduled to
2.6	be finalized in February of 2007.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	As soon as that occurs there will be
2	another probably 60-day comment period when the
3	public will be able to come back. We'll be holding
4	hearings similar to this around the country again.
5	And either at those hearings or through a couple of
6	the other venues the public will be able to comment
7	on the draft, whether we covered the issue
8	sufficiently, whether there's areas that need to be
9	addressed further where there's something that
10	wasn't included that needs to be.
11	In order to facilitate that development
12	or that process we've developed a website. And
13	this is the URL for it right here. It's also in
14	the materials that you picked up on your way in.
15	And I'd encourage you to go and view that website.
16	There's a lot of background information there just
17	on the technologies that you heard explained here
18	today,
19	also in the process of developing the EIS, the
20	scheduled EIS, that kind of information.
21	Also, during the process of developing
22	the EIS any draft documents or related information
23	that's developed will be put on that website.
24	For example, the presentations you see
25	tonight are all on the website currently.
26	There's also a comment form on that

NEAL R. GROSS

1	website. So if you decide not to comment tonight
2	for whatever reason but want to do that later,
3	there's a comment form right on the website. You
4	can do all that commenting electronically.
5	And there's also an opportunity to sign
6	up for our e-mail notification list. And we
7	promise we won't be spamming you every two or three
8	hours about the EIS and related processes. But
9	when there's an important development, like when
10	the draft EIS is available, we'll send you an e-
11	mail about that.
12	So we've been talking a lot about these
13	comments. There's three basic ways for how to make
14	sure the comments get inserted into the process.
15	The first is the project website. We
16	spent some time talking about that. The others,
17	just use regular mail. That all still works. And
18	if you have written comments you want to send in to
19	us, that's one way of doing it.
20	The third way is coming to the scoping
21	meetings and presenting the comments in person. But
22	you already know about that. That's why you're
23	here.
24	But if you do have any kind of written
25	materials or additional information that you might
26	want to pass on to us tonight, just feel free to

1	come talk to anybody who has a name tag and we'll
2	make sure that that information gets inserted into
3	the scoping process.
4	When you do comment tonight, we ask
5	that you have signed up the registration table.
6	And what we'll do is, we'll handle any comments in
7	the order that people registered.
8	After all those comments are made, if
9	someone else wants to make a comment, we'll provide
10	that opportunity, too.
11	What we'd like to have you do is to
12	come up to the podium, state your name and if
13	you're representing any organization, and then go
14	ahead and make your comments. Normally we'd limit
15	those to three minutes per person, but since we
16	don't have an overflow crowd here tonight, I think
17	you can probably comment for as long as you want to
18	comment.
19	And again, if you have any other
20	written materials or other information, please feel
21	free to leave that with us tonight.
22	Also, I'd like to note that all of the
23	scoping comments are going to be recorded by the
24	court reporter and that information will be
25	available on the website in a couple, three weeks.
26	So, if there aren't any questions, then

26

1	we can start the actual scoping process. And I'd
2	like to call the first commentor, Weezie Roberson,
3	of Florida Power and Light Energy.
4	WEEZIE ROBERSON: Hi. My name is
5	Weezie Roberson and I'm speaking tonight on behalf
6	of FPL Energy.
7	As Charles mentioned FPL Energy is the
8	developer of a proposed 140 megawatt project, wind
9	project to be located on the Outer Continental
10	Shelf just south of Long Island, New York. That
11	project is being developed in cooperation with the
12	Long Island Power Authority.
13	FPL is also interested in renewable
14	energy opportunities of current power from the
15	adjacent Gulfstream resource.
16	When Section 388 was enacted the
17	president of FPL Energy asked me whether or not I
18	thought Section 388 was going to be good for
19	offshore wind development and other alternative
20	energy resources or whether it was going to be bad
21	for the industry. I responded to him that I felt
22	Section 388 would be a very positive thing for the
23	industry provided that we could develop rules that
24	would help to implement Section 388.
25	I explained to him that prior to
26	Section 388 being enacted there were no clear legal

NEAL R. GROSS

1	mechanisms for granting property rights to
2	developers on the Outer Continental Shelf for
3	alternative energy resources. And that if we were
4	going to invest hundreds of millions of dollars in
5	projects, then we probably wanted to have property
6	rights, particularly if we were going to be
7	financing these projects.
8	However, I also pointed out to him what
9	I believe to be two of the biggest risks associated
10	with Section 388 and that was the uncertainty with
11	regard to the price that the developers would have
12	to pay for these rights, and also how long it would
13	take to obtain these rights.
14	Today we still at FPL Energy believe
15	that price and schedule are two of the biggest
16	unknowns with regard to Section 388.
17	With regard to price, we encourage MMS
18	to keep in mind that the economics of OCS renewable
19	energy development will not now and may not ever be
20	able to tolerate the imposition of high royalty
21	fees.
22	Electricity is sold into a very
23	different and much less robust market than oil,
24	gas, and other OCS resources.
25	Also, MMS should keep in mind that
26	Congress enacted the production tax credit in order

1	to incentivise developers to develop renewable
2	energy projects. It wouldn't make a lot of sense
3	for the government to give an incentive with one
4	hand and to take it away with another.
5	With regard to schedule, MMS should
6	develop timely leasing and permitting schedules for
7	legal compliance. Application forms and procedures
8	should not be overly burdensome and should offer as
9	much predictability as possible to the applicant,
10	including a clear schedule for processing and
11	decision making.
12	I'd also like to add that we've had a
13	very positive experience so far working with MMS
14	staff. We believe MMS is facing an enormous task
15	and we believe that they are very eager and capable
16	of implementing a successful program.
17	We encourage MMS to carefully develop
18	regulations that will protect the public interest
19	and environmental quality and safety without
20	imposing unwarranted burdens on the emerging
21	offshore alternate energy industry.
22	We have also submitted written comments
23	to the advance notice of proposed rule making. And
24	I have a few copies with me if anyone's interested
25	in receiving a copy of those.

Thank you.

26

1	MR. GASPER: Thank you.
2	Well, there was only one registered
3	commentor, so at this point I'd ask if anybody else
4	would care to make a comment.
5	(No response)
6	MR. GASPER: Since there's no other
7	comments forthcoming, I guess we should note that
8	the meeting, scoping meeting can close at 10
9	minutes to seven.
10	(Off the record.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	